## **United States Court of Appeals**

## FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-4212 Elizabeth Dunham, as personal \* representative for Douglas Dunham, \* Appellant, v. City of O'Fallon, Missouri, a Municipal corporation; Michael Kernan, individually and in his official capacity as Police Chief of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Edward Griesenauer, individually and in his official capacity as Mayor of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Board of Alderman of the City of \* Appe als from the Unit ed Stat es O'Fallon, Missouri, by and through Dist rict Cour t. for the its individual members; Dennis Henson, East ern

> Dist rict of

	Miss
	ouri
individually and in his official capacity	*
as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, * [UNPUBLISHED]	
Missouri; Paul Renaud, individually	*
and in his official capacity as Alderman	*
of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri;	*
Henry Dussold, individually and in his	*
official capacity as Alderman of the	*
City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Eugene	*
Moser, individually and in his official	*
capacity as Alderman of the City of	*
O'Fallon, Missouri; Cliff Hesskamp,	*
individually and in his official capacity	*
as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon,	*
Missouri; Joe Salemi, individually	*
and in his official capacity as	*

Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Kenneth Molloy, individually and in his official capacity as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Rose Mack, individually and in her official capacity as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri;

\*

\*

Appellees.

No. 97-1430

John Fomera; Christine Fomera,

Appellant,

v.

City of O'Fallon, Missouri, a Municipal corporation; Michael Kernan, individually and in his official capacity as Police Chief of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Edward Griesenauer, individually and in his official capacity as Mayor of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Board of Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri, by and through its individual members; Dennis Henson, individually and in his official capacity as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Paul Renaud, individually and in his official capacity as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Henry Dussold, individually and in his official capacity as Alderman of the

City of O'Fallon, Missouri; Eugene	*
Moser, individually and in his official	*
capacity as Alderman of the City of	*
O'Fallon, Missouri; Cliff Hesskamp,	*
individually and in his official capacity	*
as Alderman of the City of O'Fallon,	*
Missouri; Joe Salemi, individually	*
and in his official capacity as	*
Alderman of the City of O'Fallon,	*
Missouri; Kenneth Molloy, individually	*
and in his official capacity as	*
Alderman of the City of O'Fallon,	*
Missouri; Rose Mack, individually and	*
in her official capacity as Alderman	*
of the City of O'Fallon, Missouri;	*
*	

Appellees.

\_\_\_\_

Submitted:

September 10, 1997

September 25, 1997

Filed:

\_\_\_\_\_

Before McMILLIAN, ROSS and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Elizabeth Dunham, as personal representative for Douglas Dunham, and John and Christine Fomera appeal from final orders entered in the District Court<sup>1</sup> for the Eastern District of Missouri granting summary judgment in favor of the City of O'Fallon and other defendants on their employment discrimination and related claims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable George F. Gunn, Jr., Senior United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

<u>Fomera v. City of O'Fallon</u>, No. 4:95CV00238 (GFG) (E.D. Mo. Dec. 31, 1996) (memorandum and order); <u>Dunham v. City of O'Fallon</u>, 945 F. Supp. 1256 (E.D. Mo. 1996).

The district court held that appellants' employment discrimination and retaliation claims were barred by the applicable 90-day statute of limitations, 945 F. Supp. at 1260-62, citing Garfield v. J.C. Nichols Real Estate, 57 F.3d 662, 666 (8th Cir.) (holding dismissal without prejudice does not toll running of statute of limitations on either federal or state employment discrimination claim), cert. denied, 116 S. Ct. 380 (1995). The district court also held that Elizabeth Dunham was bound by the allegations in Douglas Dunham's original and refiled complaints that he had received a right to sue letter for his age discrimination claim. Id. at 1261. The district court also held the undisputed facts and applicable law did not support the state law claims for intentional infliction of emotional distress and loss of consortium. Id. at 1262-63.

We have carefully reviewed the record and we agree with the analysis of the district court as set forth in its well-reasoned memorandum and order. See 8th Cir. R. 47B. Accordingly, we affirm the judgments of the district court.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH

CIRCUIT.